

Message

From: Sanchez, Yolanda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=473C34AE73994A4A8ACAFE6F03E0BAEB-SANCHEZ, YOLANDA]
Sent: 3/9/2021 8:28:33 PM
To: Cooke, Maryt [Cooke.Maryt@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Hunters Point Buildings Radiological Rework discussion

I got pulled into a HQ Air conversation this morning unexpectedly. Sorry for the delay.

Yes, our discussion with the state happened. In sum, both DTSC and CDPH understand EPA's position for the Navy to ensure its evaluation of the remediation goals follow EPA's policies and practices on risk assessment. The state did not raise objections. Scheduling a future meeting with the Navy will be a challenge; however, we discussed March 29. We'll communicate that to the Navy and let you know what the response is.

Yolanda

From: Cooke, Maryt <Cooke.Maryt@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 9, 2021 5:20 AM
To: Sanchez, Yolanda <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Hunters Point Buildings Radiological Rework discussion

Good Morning, Yolanda –

Did this meeting happen yesterday?

There is a Region 9 update scheduled for this afternoon. Is there anything we need to be prepared to discuss?

Thanks so much

From: Sanchez, Yolanda <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 12:56 PM
To: Walker, Stuart <Walker.Stuart@epa.gov>; Young, Dianna <Young.Dianna@epa.gov>; Hockey, David <Hockey.David@epa.gov>; Simes, Benjamin <Simes.Benjamin@epa.gov>; Tso, Jonathan <Tso.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Cooke, Maryt <Cooke.Maryt@epa.gov>; Azad, Ava <Azad.Ava@epa.gov>; Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>
Cc: Praskins, Wayne <Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: Hunters Point Buildings Radiological Rework discussion

Happy March, everyone! Mary reminded me we haven't updated HQ about next steps on our ongoing discussions with the Navy on the Navy's evaluation of its radiological remediation goals for buildings.

When we spoke in early February, we were trying to schedule time to meet with our state colleagues. At that time, the information the Navy had been providing wasn't complete nor helpful. We communicated that sentiment to the Navy and noted we would confer with HQ and the state. Scheduling a meeting with the state proved to be challenging, as our state partners are being stretched. We are trying to confirm a meeting next Monday, March 8. Once confirmed, we will reach out to the Navy with some date suggestions to schedule the meeting with all the FFA-signatories, plus CA Department of Public Health. Next week, I will be sure to update you on next steps.

After our meeting with you in early February, the Navy sent another email pushing the meeting with the FFA-signatories (attached). This email also provided an attachment with additional information to suggest the technical challenges to

implement lower count times for dust swipe samples. Our quick response (below), communicated our next step was to meet with the state.

At the staff level, we are continuing to work with the Navy to work toward solution. At this future meeting with the FFA-signatories, we hope the Navy will communicate a willingness to continue to work together.

Yolanda Anita Sanchez, MS, MPA
US Environmental Protection Agency
Community Involvement for Superfund
E-mail: sanchez.yolanda@epa.gov | Desk: 415-972-3880

Be safe. Stay in place. Maintain your space. Cover your face (when interacting).

#####

From: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 10:17 PM

To: Duchnak, Laura S CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <laura.duchnak@navy.mil>

Cc: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Praskins, Wayne <Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov>; Sanchez, Yolanda <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>; Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>; Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>; lawrence.lansdale@navy.mil; Ostrowski, Kimberly A CIV USN COMNAVFACENGCOM DC (USA) <kimberly.ostrowski@navy.mil>; Cope, Grant@DTSC <Grant.Cope@dtsc.ca.gov>; Chu, Anthony@CDPH <anthony.chu@cdph.ca.gov>; Seward, Terry@Waterboards <Terry.Seward@waterboards.ca.gov>

Subject: Hunters Point Buildings Radiological Rework

Importance: High

Good Evening Laura,

Thank you for your latest email. We agree it is imperative that we make progress on the building radiological rework. EPA's current position is to confirm the existing radiological remediation goals for the onsite buildings will be protective of future residents. Since the Navy's January submittals did not provide information that EPA believes moves the conversation sufficiently forward, we pivoted to confer with our state regulatory partners, including our colleagues at CDPH.

From the attachment in your response, it seems the Navy also has important questions for CDPH regarding its radiological dust wipes work at Parcel A. Coordinating with CDPH has proven to be challenging because of their involvement in the ongoing San Francisco Board of Supervisors meetings regarding the Treasure Island site. We anticipate this HPNS meeting between EPA and the state occurring in the first week in March. After confirming a meeting date with the state, we will quickly reach out to you to move forward with scheduling a meeting with the HPNS Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) signatories.

I expect we can find a workable approach that is technically sound and protective of human health.

Best regards,

Enrique

Subject:

Hunters Point Buildings Radiological Rework discussion

Location:

Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start: Fri 2/5/2021 10:00 AM
End: Fri 2/5/2021 11:00 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organizer

Organizer: Sanchez, Yolanda

Required Attendees: Manzanilla, Enrique; Herrera, Angeles; Chesnutt, John; Praskins, Wayne; Stalcup, Dana; Lowery, Brigid; Libelo, Laurence; Fitz-James, Schatzi; Walker, Stuart; Gervais, Gregory; Young, Dianna; Hockey, David; Simes, Benjamin; Tso, Jonathan; Cooke, Maryt; Dalzell, Sally; Leff, Karin; Azad, Ava; Muller, Marie

Categories: SF Site

Agenda

- Update from Region 9 on discussions with the Navy on the long-term protectiveness evaluation of the radiological remediation goals for current, onsite buildings (see attached email string and letter)

- Update from headquarters on:

Superfund site examples where remediation goals have been set to address radiologically-contaminated buildings for residential use

Superfund site examples of when the BPRG calculator has been used to provide cleanup values, especially for residential use

- Discussion on the extent the default values (four exposure factors) in the BPRG calculator to estimate cancer risk from removable radiological contamination (i.e., dust) represent agency-wide values (e.g., the Exposure Factors Handbook or other agency-wide risk assessment approaches)

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

By participating in EPA hosted virtual meetings and events, you are consenting to abide by the agency's terms of use. In addition, you acknowledge that content you post may be collected and used in support of FOIA and eDiscovery activities.

